

IFTA CAC MEETING – 2/14//2008

Participants: Debbie Meise, Lonette Turner, Jason DeGraf, Garry Hinkley, Scott Miller, Pat Platt, Randy Boone, Gary Frohlick, Debbie Stuart

Last conference call – 1/10/2008

ITAC – Scott Miller reviewed the minutes from the ITAC meeting. Garry voiced that he thought there was a misunderstanding about what California was proposing. They wanted to barcode decal serial numbers, not Carrier information onto the decals. Lonette stated that at this point they are just throwing ideas for projects out there, that they are just looking into the feasibility of bar coding Carrier information.

Jason participated in the LEC meeting. The Revoked database is available for Law Enforcement to use (test). North Carolina and Maryland are trying to test but are having firewall issues. Roadside enforcement will access the Clearinghouse through a Web browser and enter the Carrier number to show the Carrier status. The Revoked database is for Law Enforcement only, and they will need an IP address to access the data. It is the same demographic information that jurisdictions access in the Clearinghouse currently.

There is still more work to be done with NLETS. It is going to take a while, and each jurisdiction will have to do some programming to interface with NLETS. Canadian jurisdictions cannot access NLETS.

Funds Netting – Lonette met with JP Morgan Chase on January 28, 2008 and provided them with the netting calendar, and let them know we will be getting ready to test. Funds will be available the day after EFT dates. Jurisdictions can electronically transfer funds until 10:00 eastern time, to have funds available the next day. The account will be opened in April. JP Morgan Chase will give the forms and account information at that time. Canadian jurisdictions will go through a Canadian bank (Royal Bank?) who will transmit funds to JP Morgan Chase. Canadian jurisdictions will not have to worry about conversion to US dollars; the bank will handle this process for both US and Canadian funds. It will take time to set up. Lonette has not reviewed the answers to questions from JP Morgan Chase.

The penny transfer will take place in June for April data, to make sure everything is done by July for the Board Meeting. It will be nice to know the process, even if we don't have all information, so things can be looked into and set up. The turnaround time should not be a problem for Maine. Some jurisdictions may have difficulty meeting the short turnaround time. No matter what is put out there, someone will have a problem. If the deadline is missed jurisdictions can overnight or email (encrypted or password protected) the flat file and as long as Jason receives on the calendar date, he can load and include in transmittal period. If the deadline is missed, the jurisdiction will have to do manually, or post the next month. Jurisdictions will only be sending and receiving the net difference.

The Funds Netting demo contains information through December, 2007. From August\2007 through December/2007 there was a low of \$8,000,000 and a high of \$35,100,000. This will be cyclic, depending on the time of year.

Ballot – A ballot will have to be written and presented by another committee. The ballot deadline for submission is March 28, 2008. The CAC cannot sponsor a ballot. We could ask the APC or the PCRC to sponsor the ballot, or send it directly to the Board to discuss. Lonette has not discussed this with the Board yet. There is a conference call scheduled for February 28. She could have this added to the agenda for that call to see what they want to do. . Debbie has a drawn up drafts. Maybe we should look at them and see if they need changing. There are a couple of typographical errors that need correcting.

Will amendments have to be made to P1040 and R120? Changes to P1040 would be to extend time to submit transmittals by 7 days. It would give the same advantage to both non-Clearinghouse and Clearinghouse members. If the calendar is followed, the transmittal information would be uploaded timely, but the payment would be late. If jurisdictions miss the deadline and posts the next month, information will be late. P1040 stays that transmittal data and funds can be sent separately. Timeliness would be determined by the Postmark date or equivalent. Debbie will work on something. Shoot ideas to Debbie by the 21st.

Other business – Kim Craig and Gary were present for the semi-annual conference call with Canadian jurisdictions. There is some softening toward the Patriot Act, but there are still some concerns about risks. Some jurisdictions are changing systems. There is a mixed bag of concerns. Funds netting seems to be a bigger problem now. There are questions regarding deposit insurance.

Randy has not been updated as to where ACS stands on the surcharge problem. They are not responding very well, because Indiana will be replacing ACS with another system. The new system was supposed to be up and running on March 1st, but now they are looking at June or July. It will be an in-house system. An outside company (Cyber) is helping to build the system. They are not experience with IFTA, but have developed other government programs for jurisdictions.

Debbie has contacted a couple of jurisdictions to ask if they would like to participate on the CAC. South Carolina and Idaho both declined. Scott Greenawalt of Oklahoma may be a candidate for non-participating jurisdictions. We still need a Polk representative. Gary put out feelers for a Canadian jurisdiction to replace him on the Committee, but there have been no takers.

Fuel and distance information is available for jurisdictions who have corrected the surcharge problem.

Next conference call scheduled for March 13, 2008 @ 11:00 eastern time.